16 February 1949

MEMORANDUM

TO:

FROM:

Br. J. Merton England

SUPJECT:

CIA Historical Program

For the past two and one-half days, I have been examining materials in CIA files on OSS activities during World and and on those of its predecessor, COI. In particular, I have examined history of OSS, the War Diaries of the Conyers have made a very cursory survey of the OSS materials in the CIA Archives. These materials contain a great deal of valuable information that would be of current use to CIA. The problem is to determine what types of information are wanted and the best method of finding the data and presenting them in usable manner. It seems to me that this is a historian's job.

A good history of COI, OSS, and CIA would serve two primary needs: (1) a record for posterity, and (2) a guide for CIA's own use in its current operations and in its planning for future activities. As a historian myself, I must emphasize the desirability of keeping both of these needs in mind, not just the second. There is an obligation to the public that a sound, objective, and interpretive history be written, even though publication may be long deferred. Such a history should not be an official history in the normal sense of the term—that is, one that is a defense or sulpgy of the organization for which it was prepared.

significant. There should be prepared a record of the experience of OSS that describes and analyzes such things as these: the organization and administration of the agency in the Zone of the Interior and in the theaters of operation; recruitment, classification, assignment, training, and assessment of personnel; development, procurement, supply, shipping, and warehousing of equipment; collection, processing, evaluation, and dissemination of intelligence and other information; strategic, operational, and post-hostilities planning; security; and such specific field operations as penetration and infiltration, propagands techniques, sabotage, espionage and counter-espionage, use of guerrillas, and escape and exfiltration. There are many other subjects, of course, that should be studied, sither as aspects of those suggested here or in their own right.

The existing manuscript history of USS prepared by is not an adequate record for either of the aims stated above. That comment is not meant to be disparaging. The history has many virtues, particularly as an over-all history of the organization, Approved For Release 2002/01/09: CIA-RDP83-00036R001100090005-5 but it

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

but it had to be done much too hastily. It is for the most part an "administrative" history written in terms of the changing and expanding organization. Occasional excellent examples of operational techniques are described, but there is not enough description and interpretive analysis of specific functions of 000. Also, there is too little assessment of reasons for success or failure. Apparently the history was written in large part from branch and field histories that said much about accomplishments but slighted shortcomings.

in other depositories in Washington means that preparation of such historical studies as those suggested above is more than a one-man job. Fortunately, however, much of the raw material for a history has been assembled and to some degree digested in the War Histories and in the History Reference File. Also, other materials in the Archives are fairly well indexed on reference cards. Perhaps most important of all, the seems to be quite 25X1A familiar with the files of the Archives, what office files have been transferred elsewhere, what materials are still in offices, and what materials have been destroyed. She has been most co-operative in my hasty survey, and she could give historian great assistance.

As to the selection of historians for the job, effort should be made to choose people who have had experience in war-history projects (preferably in Washington, I think) and who are not bound by meticulous, graduate-school canons that might cause them to bury themselves in minutiae. They must be able to cut through detail, to see beyond organization charts to essential functions, and to write clearly and concisely, using examples as illustrations not as ends in themselves. They should be given the strongest administrative support to gain access to needed materials and freedom to interpret their findings. They should be made to feelthat CIA is not wanting a defensive account, that it wants to know what mistakes have been made and how those might have been corrected. To this end they should attempt to evaluate OSS organization, personnel, training, equipment and intelligence in the light of field operations. (Criticisus of training, equipment, etc. made by operational groups in the field provide much of the kind of information needed, though of course, such criticisms themselves need to be evaluated. Also if CIA has access to captured Gestapo and Abwehr files, its historians should be able to learn much from them about the effectiveness of OSS field operations.)

As to the size of historical organization that is needed, the mamber of studies desired by CIA and the speed with which they should be produced are probably the determing factors. As I see the job, however, it should not require a large organization—perhaps four or five well—qualified men. A good historian should be hired as soon as possible, to make a more intensive survey of the materials, outline the studies that are needed and set up a priority on these on the basis of need, and suggest and examine other prospective historians. Most of the best-qualified historians are new back in academic posts and are probably unwilling to historians are new back in academic posts and are probably unwilling to have, unless compensation is compellingly attractive. It is likely, however, leave, unless compensation is compellingly attractive. It is likely, however, that several academic historians would be willing to work for CIA in the summer months, and others might be able to obtain a full year's leave from

their institutions.

Approved For Release 2002/01/09 : CIA-RDP83-00036R001100090005-5

their institutions. After the completion of the projected program - perhaps a two-year project - there should be at least our permanent historian to been a running record and make special studies.

It might be demirable for CTA to have one or two outstanding bistorians to serve as advisors on the establishment of a historical program and on regrainment of personnel. One sem was quald give invaluable aid is life. Henry F. Pringle. The other historical agencies in Nashington, too, would probably be willing to give edvice and aid. Hr. Kest Reberts Greenfield who is in charge of the Army Historical program, and Mr. Albert F. Simpson, the civilian is charge of the Air Forces program, I am sure would be co-operative, and the chance to prefit from their extensive superiouse should not be passed by.

As to the kind of bistory meeted, that is a matter for determination by CIA and its historiens. I would hesitate to blueprint the etudies that should be made though I think that memographs would be more useful them an over-all history. Even when a progress of studies is decided upon, It should be kept flexible. Actual research in the swallable materials is messessary before final determination that a projected study is feasible.

Deadlines for the completion of studies should also be flexible. Historians are notoriously optimistic in their estimation of the amount of time needed to complete a given study. It is best to expect that it will take at least twice as long as they first anticipate. But a deadline does serve a useful purpose if used as a target date rather than a bludgeon. And if a historian constantly runs far behind schedule and does not come up with a study that merits the extensions of time given him, it is best to be hard-hearted and turn him loose.

Historians should be us alone to their saterials as possible. The Archives are already crowled, and it may be necessary to work out a system of charging out materials to the means. But by all means keep the grehivists happy; they are potentially the historians best friends or their worst enemies. Perhaps a rece or two in the administration Building could be made symilable to the historians so that documents could be returned to the Archives on the day they are checked out.

A well-managed, prednotive historical program can best be achieved with a few competent people. Coreful planning in advance will have many headaches later on. But it is wital that a history, or at least experal historical studies, be prepared soon. Nuch has already been done bound that end, and it would be tragic if the record of success and failure, and the rescons for success and failure, are not drawn from the existing material.

4954 OR 600000000000000	oproved For Pologeo 3	SECRET (1	then Filled In)	
AREA ON COUNTRY (SO	DO CHOMBINA IS A ALCANISTA	senemal punction	(新年表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表表	CLASS S
HQ	oss	DDP	History	17 Feb
	OPC		Archives	1949
	Historical		Records	
	Staff			
or vent	om Dr. J. Merton	, England CIA His	[Professor of History, Un torical Program transmitte Sub: OPC Evalu	d under
ABSTRACT NOTATION	REFERENCES			•

Dr. England's memo explains what he feels should be the objective of a comprehensive history of COI-OSS and makes general recommendation for carrying out such a program.

DOCUMENT LOCATION

HS/CSG-1622 Approved For Release 2002/01/09 : CIA-RDP83-00036R001100090005-5

FORM 2523